1 The Honorable Richard A. Jones 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 11 AT SEATTLE 12 DEBORAH FRAME-WILSON, 13 CHRISTIAN SABOL, SAMANTHIA No. 2:20-cv-00424-RAJ RUSSELL, ARTHUR SCHAREIN, 14 LIONEL KEROS, NATHAN CHANEY, 15 CHRIS GULLEY, SHERYL TAYLOR-HOLLY, ANTHONY COURTNEY, DAVE PROPOSED ORDER ON 16 WESTROPE, STACY DUTILL, SARAH PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR 17 ARRINGTON, MARY ELLIOT, APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM CO-HEATHER GEESEY, STEVE LEAD CLASS COUNSEL AND 18 MORTILLARO, CHAUNDA LEWIS, PLAINTIFFS' EXECUTIVE ADRIAN HENNEN, GLENDA R. HILL, **COMMITTEE** 19 GAIL MURPHY, PHYLLIS HUSTER, and 20 GERRY KOCHENDORFER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, 21 Plaintiffs, 22 23 v. 24 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware 25 corporation, 26 Defendant. 27 28



8

6

11 12

13

1415

17

16

1819

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now before the Court is the plaintiffs' Motion for Appointment of Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel and Plaintiffs' Executive Committee for the Proposed Class.

This motion relates to antitrust cases brought by and on behalf of consumers who purchased from online retail sites other than Defendant Amazon's retail platform and were harmed by Amazon's anticompetitive conduct.

The Court concludes that Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP and Keller Postman LLC should be appointed, and are hereby appointed, as Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel, and that Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP and Keller Rohrback L.L.P. should be appointed, and are hereby appointed, as members of a Plaintiffs' Executive Committee. The Court concludes that such appointments will aid in achieving efficiency and economy in what is likely to be expensive and complicated litigation, and that such appointments will enhance fairness to all parties concerned, as well as the proposed classes.

In reaching these conclusions, the Court has carefully reviewed the motion and its accompanying submissions, including the declarations and attachments submitted on behalf of the appointed firms, and has also considered the factors outlined in Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and other authority cited by the plaintiffs. The plaintiffs' submissions demonstrate that the appointed firms satisfy the requirements of Rule 23(g) for appointment as interim lead class counsel.

//

//

//

//

//

//

Case 2:20-cv-00424-RAJ Document 95 Filed 03/24/23 Page 3 of 3

These include the work counsel have done in identifying or investigating potential claims in the action; counsel's experience in handling class actions, other complex litigation, and the types of claims asserted in the action; counsel's knowledge of the applicable law; and the resources that counsel have available and will commit to representing the class. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 23rd day of March, 2023. Richard A Jones The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge